

"STEP LVELY" ORDER PROPER, SAVES THE COURT

Mustn't Wait for Car to Stop Before "Stepping," Either.

"Step lively" is officially indorsed by a decision in the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court to-day.

The case was that of Kate Sheehy, who obtained a verdict against the Metropolitan Street Railway Company for injuries said to have been caused by the conductor of the car giving the signal and starting the car while she was in the act of alighting.

Justice Laughlin said that, according to her own testimony, Miss Sheehy was a passenger on a Madison avenue car on the evening of Jan. 18, 1898; that she was seated on the right-hand side, a short distance from the door, leaving vacant a space for the accommodation of about four passengers to sit between her and the door; that after the car had passed Seventy-eighth street, bound south, she signalled to the conductor, who was standing in about the middle of the car, that she wished to get off at Seventy-seventh street, to which he replied, "All right."

She remained seated until the car had stopped and then arose and proceeded to the door, where she met a woman and a man entering, and stepped back inside the door to let them pass.

As soon as they passed she stepped upon the platform and when she stood with one foot on it and the other on the step of the car the bell was rung by the conductor and she was precipitated into the street.

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

LEFT HOME WITH THREAT OF SUICIDE.

Mrs. Julia Stamford, of No. 111 Amsterdam avenue, who says she is the mother of four children, called at the Coroner's office to-day and asked that the records be searched in an effort to find some trace of her husband, John, who disappeared last June.

Mrs. Stamford told Chief Clerk Baughman that her husband had worked as a fireman on a river steamer, and on the night of June 15 left his home in a hurry, saying he was going to drown himself. Nothing has been heard of him since.

Mrs. Stamford said that her husband carried a small sum of insurance on his life and she wanted to collect it if her husband had killed himself.

DID NOT "FIX" WITNESS.

Jury Acquits Man Accused in Railroad Damage Suit.

(Special to The Evening World.) WHITE PLAINS, N. Y., April 6.—Baltus Yankauskas, connected with a New York law firm, who had been on trial before County Judge Platt here for four days on an indictment charging him with subornation of perjury, was acquitted by a jury to-day.

It was alleged that Yankauskas "fixed" a witness to testify falsely to a suit brought by a woman against the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company for injuries received in a wreck near Washington. Detectives for the company declare that neither the plaintiff in the suit nor the witness was ever near the scene of the accident, and that the suit was a fake. Yankauskas proved he was innocent of any crooked work.

KILLED BY A STONE.

Man Fell and Struck Workman on the Head at a New Building.

Andrew Wolowko, a laborer, was struck on the head by a large stone and instantly killed to-day while at work on a new building at No. 24 East One Hundred and Seventeenth street. Wolowko was thirty-three years of age and his residence is not known to the police.

THE THREE INSEPARABLES!

STARTING from a given point there's but ONE DIRECT ROAD to the goal of Success. If you find difficulty in disposing of Real Estate or in profitably investing your savings, you are mistaking the Lamp Lights of Remorse for the living flame of Prosperity. In other words, you have strayed away from the High Road of Publicity—The World's Want Directory—and are in grave danger of being lost in the Forest of Failure.

Get back to the High Road without delay. There you will find The World's Want Directory—a trusty guide who daily leads thousands of Homeseekers and Investors to the Land of Profits.

There's nothing fancy about World Wants—they're just plain, hard-working and effective business agents.

Success is just a step away.

World's Want Directory.

Success is just a step away.

World's Want Directory.

Success is just a step away.

World's Want Directory.

Success is just a step away.

World's Want Directory.

Success is just a step away.

World's Want Directory.

Success is just a step away.

World's Want Directory.

Success is just a step away.

World's Want Directory.

Success is just a step away.

World's Want Directory.

Success is just a step away.

World's Want Directory.

Success is just a step away.

World's Want Directory.

COUPLE IN AUTO IN A BIG HURRY TO GET MARRIED

Stopped at Brooklyn Police Station, but Sergeant Couldn't Aid Them.

Chubby Sergi, McNulty, who could put his vest on backwards and pass for a clergyman any time, was dozing behind the desk at the Adams street police station, in Brooklyn, at 8:15 o'clock this morning when an over-worked automobile, panting like a lion, drew up outside and a tall, well-groomed young man opened the door and walked in.

"I want to get married," said the newcomer by way of an introduction, "can you help me out?"

"What talk have you?" said McNulty severely. "This is no time of day for talking of getting married."

"Well," said the young man, "we are in rather a hurry. She's outside waiting for me."

McNulty went outside to see for himself. There was a big red touring car, with an awfully pretty girl, hard-dressed, in the tonneau and a sympathetic chauffeur in front. The girl smiled at McNulty appealingly, but the sergeant couldn't help them. He said he didn't know of any minister that would be willing to get up at 8:15 to marry anybody.

"All right," said the young man, "I'll keep going until we find one."

McNulty watched the car until it turned out of Adams street into Johnson street. None of the party appeared to have been drinking. They looked like well-bred young people and they were evidently in earnest.

"The number on the car was '11374 N. Y.' This number belongs on a car in a garage in Flatbush avenue. The car was rented all of last night to a young man, but the manager of the garage declined to give the name."

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

"It is not entirely clear that on her own testimony the conductor, even if he had been informed that she desired to alight at Seventy-seventh street, was negligent or would not have been justified in assuming that she had changed her mind. Her conduct was quite unusual for a young, active person. She remained seated until the car had stopped, and she evidently took it later after that the couple waiting to board the car would not have reached the platform and met her in the door. If passengers made no preparations, even while cars are slowing down to alight, but waited until the moment when the car had stopped, and then stepped back or aside for passengers to board the car, the long distance of a street car would not equal that of a pedestrian."

Justice Laughlin, in commenting upon the statement, said:

KINGDON COULD UNDER KNIFE FOR APPENDICITIS

Son of Millionaire Operated on at Father's Home in This City.

Kingdon Gould, elder son and heir of George Gould, was operated on for appendicitis to-day at the home of his parents, at No. 85 Fifth avenue. The operation was performed by Dr. T. R. Bull, Dr. Anderson, the family physician, assisting.

The young man, who was convalescing from measles in the Gould home at Lakewood, was stricken yesterday. The attack became so serious that word was sent to the Presbyterian Hospital to make preparations there to receive him.

The boy was brought up to New York on a private car last night and taken immediately to his home. He was in charge of a physician in Lakewood, who attends the family there.

When the young heir to many millions was made comfortable at home he seemed to improve, and it was decided not to send him to the hospital. It was necessary to perform the operation at once, however, and Dr. Bull was sent for.

He arrived at the Gould mansion with Dr. Anderson at 10 o'clock this morning. The operation was performed immediately, taking thirty-five minutes. After it was over Dr. Bull said to the parents of the young man:

"The operation has been in every way successful, and I feel that we can assure you there will be no complications."

Three of the Gould children were stricken with measles this winter. Kingdon was the last to suffer and had recovered so far that he was preparing to resume his studies at Columbia University. It is not likely now that he will go back to college. This summer he and his brother, Jay Gould, will make an automobile tour of Europe.

Another event in the Gould family this year was the birth of a new baby.

Kingdon Gould.

Kingdon Gould.

Kingdon Gould.

Kingdon Gould.

Kingdon Gould.

Kingdon Gould.

Kingdon Gould.

Kingdon Gould.

Kingdon Gould.

Kingdon Gould.

Kingdon Gould.

Kingdon Gould.

Kingdon Gould.